

We are all words in a conversation that God is having. Henry Nouwen

Written responses

Strengths and challenges of each scenario

Scenario	Strengths	Challenges
<p>Parish Scenario 1: 1 parish, 3-4 Sunday worship centers <u>Parish 1:</u> All 8 parishes form 1 new parish (5,252, \$3.45 million). Three or four church sites are used for the parish's regular schedule of Sunday Masses to cover the geographic breadth of the grouping.</p>	<p>Decided by all this would not work</p>	<p>Ann-The least feasible of all. Does not make sense geographically, administratively, or communally--it's just too complicated. The one most likely to drive people off. Agnes/Kieran- none of us like because we feel like the reality of one parish without building a new church would actually drive people away. Also questioned whether or not it would be truly manageable with such a large territory. ? - does not create the best community (no sense of family or community and may lose personal contact), difficult to get people together for various functions, staffing would be a huge undertaking.</p>
<p>Parish Scenario 2: 3 parishes <u>Parish 1:</u> St. Irenaeus + St. James the Greater + St. Lawrence O'Toole (1,149; \$1.17 million) <u>Parish 2:</u> St. Ann + St. John (988; \$0.84 million) <u>Parish 3:</u> St. Agnes + St. Kieran + St. Paul (3,115; \$1.44 million)</p>		<p>Ann- we do not favor any scenario that puts us with only one additional church--we feel we could build a stronger foundation with three communities pulling together ? - Checks all the boxes but some distance issues and declining numbers</p>
<p>Parish Scenario 3: 3 parishes <u>Parish 1:</u> St. Agnes + St. Kieran + St. John + St. Paul (3,448; \$1.66 million) <u>Parish 2:</u> St. Ann + St. James (988; \$0.84 million) <u>Parish 2:</u> St. Irenaeus + St. Lawrence O'Toole (704; \$0.77 million)</p>	<p>Does not meet all criteria</p>	<p>? - Would not work putting St. Irenaeus and St. Lawrence O'Toole alone; would not meet the criteria</p>

<p>Parish Scenario 4: 3 parishes <u>Parish 1:</u> St. Ann + St. James + St. John (1,433; \$1.24 million) <u>Parish 2:</u> St. Agnes + St. Irenaeus + St. Kieran + St. Lawrence O'Toole (1,715; \$1.64 million) <u>Parish 3:</u> St. Paul (2,104; \$0.56 million)</p>	<p>Does not meet all criteria</p>	<p>Ann- This one seems like an awful lot to put on St. Paul. But I did revise my thinking a little when I heard another parish express that they really could stand alone if they could move into one of the larger church buildings. But financially, is that realistic when that leaves them with the smallest operating revenue? ? - Checks all the boxes but St. Paul needs a bigger church; with the other groupings a church may be freed up for St. Paul's use</p>
--	-----------------------------------	--

Scenario	Strengths	Challenges
<p>Parish Scenario 5: 3 parishes <u>Parish 1:</u> St. Irenaeus + St. Lawrence O'Toole (704; \$0.77 million) <u>Parish 2:</u> St. Agnes + St. Kieran + St. Paul (3,115; \$1.44 million) <u>Parish 3:</u> St. Ann + St. James + St. John (1,433; \$1.24 million)</p>	<p>Does not meet all criteria</p>	<p>Ann -Felt familiar and comfy since it's our original groupings. But provided the data we have, seems that the next scenario might be a little more beneficial. ? - Same as Scenario 3 - St. Irenaeus and St. Lawrence O'Toole would not meet the criteria</p>
<p>Parish Scenario 6: 3 parishes <u>Parish 1:</u> St. Irenaeus + St. Lawrence O'Toole + St. Paul (2,881; \$1.05 million) <u>Parish 2:</u> St. Agnes + St. Kieran (1,011; \$0.73 million) <u>Parish 3:</u> St. Ann + St. James + St. John (1,433; \$1.24 million)</p>		<p>Ann-is is the one we largely agreed to be most advantageous to all, St. Ann is grouped with two others, and St. Paul could bring the needed numbers to the smaller Irenaeus/O'Toole populations, while gaining the benefit of a larger worship space. Additionally, we asked the question as to why no scenarios split us in half, four and four? In this case, we thought Ann, John, Kieran, and James could work well, while Agnes, Paul, Irenaeus, and O'Toole would be good--each grouping with a school to feed into. We also liked John Dee's proposal for that same reason. The two schools are not geographically close enough to become one and losing one or the other would cause a desert of Catholic education in all areas--each of them being supported by four church communities would be a big boost to both. Agnes/Kieran - we felt 6 was the best option but also someone mentioned that St. Agnes/St. Kieran combining would be too much of the same since we already do so much together and would that really be viable 10 years down the road? Our biggest discussion centered around Joe Schulp and Fr. John's 2 Parish Scenarios...in really looking at 10 years down the</p>

		road, would it be better to just split into two parishes now rather than 3 that may need to combine again in the future? Need Structural data ? - Checks all the boxes; greater diversity among the shared parishes
A1. 3 Parishes	<p>Parish 1 (West): St. Agnes + St. Irenaeus + St. Lawrence O'Toole (1,274; \$1.24 million) – Farthest distance = 4.2mi</p> <p>Parish 2 (North): St. Kieran + St. John + St. Ann (1,429, \$1.25 million) – Farthest distance = 6.8 mi</p> <p>Parish 3 (East): St. Paul + St. James (2,549, \$0.96 million) – Farthest distance = 5.0 mi</p>	
A2. 2 parishes	<p>Parish 1: St. Ann + St. James + St. John (1,433; \$1.24 Million)</p> <p>Parish 2: St. Agnes + St. Irenaeus + St. Kieran + St. Lawrence O'Toole + St. Paul (3,892; \$1.78 Million)</p> <p>Two worship sites. St. Kieran & St. Irenaeus Reduces expense by \$1.5 million</p>	
A3. 2 parishes	<p>Parish 1: St. Ann, St. John, St. Kieran, and St. Agnes (1,999 people \$1,719,686)</p> <p>Parish 2: St. James, St. Irenaeus, St. Paul, St. Lawrence O'Toole (3,253 people \$1,728,109)</p>	